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Abstract:

This contribution presents a unique papyrus letter in Latin script and Latin language and in Latin script and Arabic language that is possible to date, on palaeographic grounds, from the end of the 7th to the 9th century AD. This precious witness is examined under the historical, graphical, linguistic and cultural point of view and its provenance is discussed accordingly. An edition of the whole text is provided and a number of correspondences in Arabic are suggested.
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Within the frame of continuing research aiming at reviewing and studying the *corpus* of Latin documents written on papyrus during the early and high Middle Ages (5th-11th century), one of the two authors of this article, Dario Internullo, made a striking discovery at the British Library; he found a private epistle bearing a Latin-Arabic text in Latin letters. The identification of its content was not immediate and only with the help of the other author, Arianna D’Ottone Rambach, did the nature of the document become apparent. A first presentation of the papyrus was published by Internullo shortly afterwards, but only the Latin texts were discussed in that article.[[4]](#footnote-4) On this occasion, and by combining the skills of a historian of medieval Latin culture with those of an Arabist, we are now able to offer a first complete edition of the text. Its main purpose is to lay the groundwork for still deeper studies, not only about this evidence, but also about the more general relationship between Latin culture and Arab culture during the Middle Ages, as well as the survival of Latin culture in the Islamic world.

This work is divided into three sections:

1. A description of the papyrus, its textual typology and its possible composition practice; a short discussion of the Latin peculiarities follows;

2. The cultural and linguistic aspects of the Arabic text and their importance for the studies of Arab culture are illustrated and discussed;

and

3. The transcription, the edition and the translation of the text in Latin, as well as a list of correspondences Latin-Arabic and their translation.

I. The papyrus and Its Latin side
Dario Internullo

The papyrus, acquired by the British Museum after 1956 and eventually transferred to the British Library, was formerly part of the collection of Georges Anastase Michaelidis (1900-1973). He was a Greek collector who spent most of his life in Egypt, where he bought from the antiquarian market several papyri, ostraka, and manuscripts, but also seals, bas reliefs, and scarabs.[[5]](#footnote-5) Because Michaelidis gathered his collection through purchases in this region, it is very likely that the provenance of the papyrus is Egyptian.

Preserved in its entirety, the papyrus consists of a single sheet, 295 mm high and 240 mm wide. It has damage along a central vertical line and two horizontal, parallel lines (the former in central position, the latter 70 mm from the top). That these damages are in consequence of folding the papyrus is almost certain, but is not clear how *exactly* it may have been folded. Considering the address on the *verso*, it may be assumed that, when folded, the papyrus appeared to be a small rectangle, with the sender’s name on one side and that of the recipient on the other. If this was the case, however, there should be a further “damage line” in the lower section of the papyrus, but in fact there is no evidence of such damage. The material of the writing surface is not particularly valuable: it appears to be rough and thick, and is very far from early medieval documents produced in official or highly formal contexts, such as the papyri written in Ravenna and Rome.[[6]](#footnote-6) Its context must have been rather an unofficial one. As for the layout, whoever wrote the text had it in mind to use the available space as efficiently as possible: the top margin measures 20 mm (25 if we consider it from the first “ideal” base line); the lower one, calculated, starting from the last line, measures 5 mm only; the left one is of 10 mm, while the right one coincides in most cases with the edge of the papyrus. No evidence of lining can be found, although the interlinear space remains a constant at around 15 mm.

The text, which contains 6 lines in Latin language and 16 lines in Arabic language, plus 2 lines on the *verso* in Arabic too, is written by a single hand both on the *recto* and the *verso*. It is traced with dark brown ink by a pointed pen, and runs across the fibres on the *recto*, along them on the *verso*. The writing is upright and shows a slow *ductus* – no ligatures are to be found, except for three cases in which a ligature *ti* occurs, with the shape of a ‘reversed Beta’ (l. 6, *gra****ti****ia*; 12, *bi****ti****iti*; 13, *a****ti****imtuạ*; *verso*, l. 1, *a****t****̣****i****ualla*). It probably marks an assibilated sound /ts/.[[7]](#footnote-7) In some letters, such as *b* and *e*, the strokes sometimes appear even to be disjointed. The letter shapes are attributable to the period of the so called “medieval graphical particularism”, and are connected to the new Roman cursive tradition: *a* is opened at the top; *i* can have either the short or the long form; *e* has the shape of the number “8”, a shape that is not common, within Latin script, before the late 7th century; *t* shows a “handle” before the vertical stroke: this form becomes widespread from the 7th century onwards.[[8]](#footnote-8) Abbreviations are marked by a short horizontal stroke above the letters, and concern either final *-m* or *nomina* (*sacra*) such as *Deus*, *Dominus*, *Iohanne*, and the words *quod* and *senper* [*sic*]*.* Punctuation signs are used, in the form of a double dot (:) – apparently used to signal a pause – or of a vertical, slightly curled stroke crossed by another horizontal curved stroke (÷) – apparently used to signal an interrogative tone. The general aspect of the script and the execution of some letters appears to be not so far from that of some witness subscriptions on documents produced in Italy during the 8th and 9th century[[9]](#footnote-9). All these data are useless in identifying a place of writing – no peculiar regional features can be found in them – but they help in considering the papyrus as the product of a quite elementary level of Latin literacy, and to suggest the period from the end of the 7th to the end of the 9th century as a plausible chronological range for the writing. The presence of an internal address or prescript (line 1), which disappears in the 9th century among Arabic letters, may suggest the 8th century as the more likely date; however, the plurilingual and multicultural nature of the document induces to approach this parallelism with caution[[10]](#footnote-10).

As for the textual typology – on which more details are provided below by Arianna D’Ottone Rambach – the papyrus is a letter, written by a man named *Sati* to a friend of his named *Iohanne*. More specifically, it fits well into the group of Arabic “private letters” studied by Eva Mira Grob. Different from so-called “business letters”, this kind of text is distinguished by a number of religious formulae and the involvement of more than two people.[[11]](#footnote-11) The first feature is clearly visible both in Latin invocations (lines 1, 3, 4, 6) and in Arabic ones (lines 8, 14, 15, 19), whereas the second feature is identifiable in the involvement of at least three people, that is *Sati*, *Iohanne*, and *Custantin* (lines 1, 9, 17-18). Moreover, the use of a personal and repetitive style, detectable both in Latin and in Arabic, suggests that category as the more likely framework for the understanding of this papyrus.

Private Arabic letters were often dictated (or their text was delegated) by the sender to a scribe or more generally to any literate person.[[12]](#footnote-12) Probably the papyrus itself is the result of a similar practice. If we assume such a hypothesis, we must suppose that the sender, the scribe and the recipient belonged to the same social and cultural *milieu*, and that they had a relationship with one or more institutions in which Latin culture was still alive, probably a Christian monastery. But in this case, the exceptional combination of languages and script might be therefore explained also in connection with some fortuitous circumstances (see Arianna D’Ottone-Rambach below). The scribe was certainly familiar with Latin writing and to some extent with Latin language, but the sender and the recipient must have had some knowledge, at least in oral form, of Latin formulae.[[13]](#footnote-13) At an oral level, all of them seem to be familiar with the Arabic language. In addition, since an earlier letter of the recipient is mentioned in the introductory formula (line 2), we should consider the papyrus as part of a wider epistolary network, probably built and perpetuated with other similar bilingual letters. For the Arabic letters some cases are known, in which: “the person delivering the letter was introduced as someone who could write the recipient’s reply”:[[14]](#footnote-14) we cannot exclude that the same scribe had written the previous letter of *Iohanne*, and would have written further letters of him.

Another typical feature of Arabic private letters is a linguistic level which, within a particularly repetitive style, can be defined as “oral, or better, vocal.”[[15]](#footnote-15) One can affirm this not only for the Arabic – see Arianna D’Ottone Rambach below – but also for the Latin. If orthographical peculiarities such as *co*- for *quo*- and *iscrib*- for *scrib*- (or *inscrib*-) are quite common among early medieval Latin written evidence, the form *binne mi* (*venit mihi*, line 5) and *tu si sanum* (*tu sanus es*, line 6) are very close to the Romance languages, and recall the best-known earliest Romance texts like the Italian inscription of Commodilla’s catacomb, the “Veronese riddle”, the “Placiti Cassinesi” and many others.[[16]](#footnote-16) These peculiarities could coincide with the oral formulae dictated by *Sati* to the Latin scribe. From the typological point of view, a quite similar (but distinct) context can be found in Greek witnesses’ subscription of Italian papyri. In these documents the notary dictates some Latin formulae to a person who can use only Greek writing, usually a merchant or a banker coming from the East: in transcribing what he hears, this person reproduces deviations that probably come from the spoken level, like *ed espontaneo* (*et spontaneo*), *ed eis relicto es* (*et eis relectum est*), and *veienti cattur* (*viginti quattuor*).[[17]](#footnote-17) No similar peculiarities can be found in the original Latin letters of the early Middle Ages, but the ones that had been preserved until today are written in more formal and official contexts;[[18]](#footnote-18) it is plausible, then, that the peculiarities of the papyrus, as well as the Greek subscriptions of the Italian papyri, are the result of a particular relationship between orality and literacy. Thus, this Eastern papyrus witnesses the survival of Latin in the East in a period in which that language has been considered by a number of scholars to have disappeared.[[19]](#footnote-19)

II*.* Arabic in Latin Letters
Arianna D’Ottone Rambach

Papyrus BL 3124 illustrates the phenomenon of “allography”,[[20]](#footnote-20) that is, the adoption of a different alphabet for writing a language already provided with an alphabet commonly known and accepted. Cases of allography in the Mediterranean world are not rare,[[21]](#footnote-21) but this particular combination – Arabic language in Latin letters – is rather unusual.[[22]](#footnote-22) Its early date – the papyrus can be dated from the end of the 7th to the 9th century on palaeographical grounds[[23]](#footnote-23) – renders it extremely important. A case of Qur’anic excerpts in Latin script is known, but these excerpts are quite recent, dating to the 17th century,[[24]](#footnote-24) and not at all comparable with the piece of evidence offered by Papyrus BL 3124.

Pointing out the importance of the “large body of contemporary transcriptions in other languages”[[25]](#footnote-25) for the pronunciation of early Arabic, Simon Hopkins gave in 1984 a list of documents in other scripts – mainly Greek.[[26]](#footnote-26) The most famous of these allographic texts in Arabic language and Greek letters is the late 9th-10th-century manuscript fragment, known as Violet Fragment, after Bruno Violet (1871-1945) its first editor.[[27]](#footnote-27)

Today it is possible to update this corpus of Arabic texts in different alphabets, with

an 11th-century medical recipe (*entagion*) probably written in Sicily (Paris, Suppl. Gr. 1297);[[28]](#footnote-28) a few texts in Coptic alphabet,[[29]](#footnote-29) and an old example in Syriac characters (*g*/*karshuni*).[[30]](#footnote-30)

Considering this framework, Papyrus BL 3124 is significant because it provides us with an early and continuous text recording, in Latin characters, both consonants and vowels of the Arabic language. From the end of line 6 onwards, the text of the papyrus turns from Latin (ll. 1-6)[[31]](#footnote-31) into Arabic (ll. 6-22), and gives us a unique document, enlarging the corpus of sources for the phonetics and phonology of early Arabic as well as its morphology, syntax and lexicon.

The document, that counts 22 lines on one side and two lines on the other, is a letter between two private persons.[[32]](#footnote-32) The current hypothesis about its provenance is that it was probably written in the Middle East, in the Palestinian-Egyptian area.[[33]](#footnote-33) The elements that lead to this hypothesis are the use of papyrus as writing material, the fact that the document was supposedly acquired in Egypt together with other pieces of Egyptian provenance,[[34]](#footnote-34) and the mention of the city of Jerusalem – *Jerusale(m)* – at line 15.[[35]](#footnote-35) It seems worth noting that the toponym – *Jerusalem* – employed in the letter is not an Arabic-Islamic one – as *Bayt al-maqdis/muqaddas* or *al-Quds*.

The sender, named twice –first line of the *recto*and first line towards the right on the *verso*, seems to have a Muslim name: *Sati* / Ar. *Sāṭi‘.*[[36]](#footnote-36) The document also contains two other personal names: *Ioh(ann)e*, the recipient of the letter, mentioned twice – last word of the first line of the *recto* and line 2, towards the left, on the *verso* – and *Custantin*, mentioned at line 9.

The onomastic data seem to point towards Egypt, where, as stressed by Arietta Papaconstatinou, “in the late seventh and especially in the eight century, Greco-Roman names had become quite common, even in documents that were not legal, and were thus more representative of society as a whole.”[[37]](#footnote-37) In particular, the Latin imperial name *Konstantinos* is attested in at least two Egyptian regions – the Theban west bank and Aphrodito – and the Latin form of the Greek name *Ioannes* can be compared to the Greek *Ioannakios* which is also attested in the Theban area.[[38]](#footnote-38)

The use of Latin, in a late 7th-9th century document from the Palestinian-Egyptian area, is quite unexpected: historians are, however, not unanimous about the chronology of the use of Latin in the Middle East.[[39]](#footnote-39)

As far as manuscript production is concerned (books and documents), it is interesting to recall two Latin papyri from Nessana, 100 km away from Jerusalem, one of which is a fragment of a codex containing Virgil’s epic poem *The Aeneid*, dating back to the late 5th/6th century.[[40]](#footnote-40) These fragments have also been considered as having been imported from Egypt, Nessana being on the route linking Syria and Egypt, with script comparisons from literary papyrus codices found in Egypt. It is therefore likely that the use of Latin in the Near East ceased in the 6th century and the presence of Latin papyri in Nessana attests only that these materials were preserved there until the abandon of the city in the 7th-8th century.

On the other hand the presence of Latin around Jerusalem becomes less puzzling if one looks at literary sources of the early 9th century: for instance, the *commemoratorium de casis*, a sort of account of church and monasteries around Jerusalem, composed for Charlemagne shortly after 807 AD, reports that in the Mount of Olives there were three churches and several monks “qui sedent per cellulas, eorum qui graeca lingua psallent XI, Georgiani IIII, Syriani VI, Armeni II, Latini V, qui Sarracenica lingua psallit I”.[[41]](#footnote-41) The exceptional combination of languages and script of Papyrus BL 3124, as long as no other similar exemplar comes to light, might therefore be explained in connection with some fortuitous circumstances like the passage, or the presence, of a western monk or a merchant in an Arabic speaking region.

Papyrus BL 3124, a private letter, represents an example of a documentary production different from the Violet Psalm, the well-known Arabic allographic text in Greek letters - which is a codex fragment. This element must be stressed, as it is linked to a different process of production. Whilst Papyrus BL 3124 can be considered to be the result of an oral process of dictation, the Violet fragment is the outcome of a book production process involving a written model (Ar. *aṣl*), possibly in Arabic. The vocalization of the Greek text of the Violet fragment, e.g. the article ελ- (CA *al*-), is the consequence of the internal dictation of the scribe. On the other hand, the lack of assimilation of the article with solar letters, in the Violet fragment, points to a copy executed from a written exemplar.[[42]](#footnote-42)

The Violet fragment consists of two folios, quite damaged, containing the Psalm 78 (Psalm 77 in the Septuagint version) written in two columns per page, with the Greek text on the left side and the Arabic text in Greek script on the right.[[43]](#footnote-43) From an accurate drawing of the fragment, made by Bruno Violet at the beginning of the 20th century,[[44]](#footnote-44) it was possible to discern that the script was an example of Uncial, typical of the Syro-Palestinian area. The fragment has been recently reconsidered by Maria Mavroudi, who published photographs and suggested to date it from the late 9th to the 10th century.[[45]](#footnote-45)

Mavroudi also stressed the fact that the fragment, and its system of transcription, were not created for practical purposes such as an ignorance of the Greek or the Arabic language: rather, this fragment testifies to the strong link to Greek as a Christian language, and it represents an ideological outcome rather than a practical product.[[46]](#footnote-46) Moreover, she demonstrated that the copyist/author of the transcription knew Greek and Greek grammar well, highlighting all the elements connecting the Greek transcription to grammatical treatises such as those of Michael the Synkellos and Dionysios Thrax. Therefore, the transcription of the Violet fragment appears to be an “erudite” text, in which the Arabic part seems to reproduce a written language rather than a spoken one. However, the hypothesis that the Violet text “could possibly be read as an attempt by pre-Islamic Arabic-speaking Christians to assert their communal identity by using a script that they associated with their Byzantine rite” has recently been put forward by Ahmad al-Jallad who proposed an early date for the fragment – “probably […] between the 4th/5th centuries CE”.[[47]](#footnote-47)

These elements needed to be borne in mind in order to make a comparison with Papyrus BL 3124 and to highlight some of the peculiarities of this latter. Papyrus BL 3124 seems, in fact, the result of a process of dictation. It has already been noted that “the culture of most Arabic-speakers appears to have remained a fundamentally oral one until well after the revelation of Islam. […] However, this general reluctance to write Arabic […] extended much further, and until relatively late it does not seem to have been used for writing on any subject, even letters, legal documents, bills, etc. […] Thus an Arabic speaker would either learn the language and script of Aramaic or Sabaic in order to be able to write, or employ someone to write, in these languages for him/her.”[[48]](#footnote-48) Therefore, it would not be surprising that a private person, when sending a letter, would have employed someone to write for him, considering that the people able to write in Arabic in early Islamic times were mostly professional scribes, working in chancelleries, and scholars.[[49]](#footnote-49) The Arabic speaker sender, *Sāṭi‘* (*verso*: line 1, towards right), must have been dictating. He started in Latin, possibly as a courtesy towards his correspondent Iohanne, using probably all the Latin *formulae* that he knew – as the repetitions suggest a limited *repertoire* in Latin[[50]](#footnote-50) – before suddenly switching to Arabic.[[51]](#footnote-51) The scribe was apparently someone with a graphic and linguistic education in Latin.

As for the contents, it seems likely that the letter is linked to some kind of business, possibly the trade in linen – as the word *elcitan* (Ar. *al-kattan*) at l. 17 might suggest[[52]](#footnote-52) – and it contains general requests of news about people and things.

From a linguistic point of view, the Arabic text can be described as written in Middle Arabic as some of its features discussed below are typically found in Middle Arabic texts. Moreover, it is possible to note some of its stylistic elements, such as: the use of the epistolary past (line 16: *macitebtu ilicci* for: *mā katabtu ilayka/i* – meaning: *what I am writing to you*),[[53]](#footnote-53) and the use of *formulae* of salutation (line 21) in which the word *salām*/peace appears;[[54]](#footnote-54) but also, notably, the fact that words were transcribed on the basis of phonetic principles, free from the influence of Classical Arabic orthography.[[55]](#footnote-55) The transcription provided by Papyrus BL 3124 is therefore of exceptional interest.

As far as the transcription is concerned, it is possible to make the following observations,[[56]](#footnote-56) remembering that the Latin alphabet utilizes 23 letters – compared with the 28 of the Arabic *abǧad*.

**The vowels**

In the ll. 6-22, corresponding to the Arabic text in transcription, only four vowels are employed: *a*, *e*, *i*, *u*. No *o* is registered.

The following may be noted: the *imāla*[[57]](#footnote-57) of the long vowel *ā* in the pronoun *ḏālika* that becomes *delicci* – broken between ll. 10-11: this *imāla* can be compared with *κεν* for *kāna* in the Violet fragment;[[58]](#footnote-58) the preposition *li*- before a suffix pronoun of 1st plural person (–*nā*) becomes *e*: *lena* for *la-nā* (l. 14); the short or long *u* vowel is noted in Papyrus BL 3124 always with *u*, as at l. 7 and 17.

**Diphtong -ay**

Contrary to the evidence given by the Violet fragment,[[59]](#footnote-59) the diphthong –*ay* is often rendered as *i* as in l. 16: *ilicci* and l. 20 *iliccu(m)* for *ilayk-a/i/um*, and l. 22: *alicci* for *‘alayk-a/i/um* and, on the *verso*, l. 2 *biti* for *bayt*; even if at least once it is preserved and not contracted *as* in l. 21: *uhair* / *wa-ḫayr*.

**Tanwīn**

Papyrus BL 3124 indicates the pronunciation of *tanwīn* as in pause, without the final *n*, at line 20: *citira* = *kaṯīran* in continuity with the Violet fragment registering γεδδα = *ǧiddan*[[60]](#footnote-60).

**The consonants**

* The letter *‘ayn*, of *‘alà* for example, is not registered in any way in the text. One can also infer from this detail that no *‘ayn* is registered in the entire text – an event that has to be taken into account when deciphering the text. Some of the solutions proposed introduce, in the Arabic part, the letter *‘ayn* as expected; this is the case, for example, of *bida* for *biḍā‘a* (l. 7) and of *ian(i)* for *ya‘nī* (l. 15).
* The Latin text registers a long *i* as the first letter of the toponym Jerusale(m) that has been transcribed in Arabic with a *jīm* rather than a *yā’*: more researches are needed to understand both the palaeographical and phonetic value of this long *i* and of its correspondent sound in Arabic.
* For the transcription of the letter *ḫā’* as in *bihabar* (l. 7, 9 for *bi-ḫabar*), *uhabirini* (l. 11 for *wa-ḫabbir(ī)nī*); *uhabar* (l. 19 for *wa-ḫabar*) and *uhair* (l. 21 for *wa-ḫayr*) Papyrus BL 3124 invariably uses the letter *h.*[[61]](#footnote-61)
* In Papyrus BL 3124 the letter *šīn* is rendered with a simple *s* (l. 8 and ll. 14-15: *insalla*) the same letter employed for the *sīn* (line 21: *essala*(m)).[[62]](#footnote-62) On the other hand, Hopkins noted that, according to the diacritic device (a dash) employed in some early documents to differentiate the letters *sīn* and *šīn*, these two letters seem to have coalesced.[[63]](#footnote-63) In the Violet fragment, instead, the letter *šīn* is transcribed with the letter χ, also employed for transcribing *ḥā’* and *ḫā’* so that, if one reads the text aloud, the meaning of the word must first be understood in order to choose the correct sound.
* Occlusives (dentals) replace spirants (interdentals) so that the pronoun *ḏālika* becomes *delicci* – broken between ll. 10-11 – with *d*[[64]](#footnote-64) and *kaṯīran*becomes *citira* with *t.*[[65]](#footnote-65)
* In all the occurrences of the name Allāh, Papyrus BL 2134 attests a double *ll*, as in *insalla* (l. 8, 14, 15, 19), *inhairilla* (l. 14).[[66]](#footnote-66) Moreover, it is noteworthy that the expressions starting with *in* are written in one word suggesting that they were pronounced as an amalgamated compound.[[67]](#footnote-67) Moreover, a double *ll* is employed for the preposition such as *ilà* written *ille* (l. 17) or *‘alà* written *alla* (l. 7) which in Arabic have just a single *l*.

**The definite article**

In Papyrus BL 3124 the article is always attested as *el* (with *e* instead of *a*),[[68]](#footnote-68) and a difference is made according to whether it is followed by a coronal consonant/sun- or moon-letter:[[69]](#footnote-69) the assimilation is registered at l. 21 in two occurrences - *essalama* for *al-salām*/*as-salām*, and coherently there is not assimilation at l. 9: *elmaracciba* for *al-marākib* (?). In the Violet fragment, instead, the Arabic article is always transcribed as *el-* (for CA *al-*), even before words starting with one of those letters for which an assimilation is expected.[[70]](#footnote-70) For example: ΟΕΛΝΑΡ / *w-el-nār* / *w-en-nār*; ΕΛΣΕΜΑ / *el-samā* / *es-samā*.

**The verb**

For the long *ū* in some verb declensions, the transcription in the Violet fragment, χεβιγου for *šabi‘ū* (they are sated),[[71]](#footnote-71) and in the papyrus, uctubu / *uktubū* (l. 7)[[72]](#footnote-72), are coherent.

The II form of the verb takes the place of the IV form, even when this latter is attested: *uhabirini* (= *wa-ḫabbir(ī)ni*) “inform me”;[[73]](#footnote-73) and possibly *uallimi* (*wa-‘allim*).

**Preposition**

At l. 13, *ubisanicci* might stand for *bi-ša’nik*, considering – on the one hand – the fact that *bi*- supplants *fī* and – on the other – that the expression *fī ša’n* (because / for / as to) was considered to be a preposition in itself.[[74]](#footnote-74)

III. The text

Dario Internullo

**Transcription[[75]](#footnote-75)\***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | *Recto* |
| 15101520 | + innomined(omi)niiscribimusistaepistula (:) egosati (:) adtibe (:) ioh(ann)e comosisanu(m)comodotecu(m) (÷) magnoteamabiles (:) dilectusamicusetfratres (:) ind(omi)nodiligotibisalutemComosisanu(m)comodotecu(m) (÷) d(omi)n(us)d(eu)sq(uod)tefaciasanusenp(er)etsalbu (:) binnemiepistula (:) tuadetuasanitated(omi)ne (:) tibi (:) gratiiaqụatusisanu(m) (.) uebamiṇci (:) eahi (:) uctubuli (:) bihabarị (:) elbida (:) sellimu (:) allabira miti (:) emin (:) ual (·) misec . n . minu (:) sadica (:) insallaubihabar (:) elmaracciba (:) u . ḥạbạr (:) cụstantin (:) . (-)f̣f̣ .u (:)`ụ´ es . ala . ub . lạt . aṛ . . . maḥ[. .]du (:) ucatạgamini (:) delicci (:) uc̣[. .]taraḍt . [. .] . . ạḍir (:) ịllecibi (:) liccu(m) (:) uhabiriniaurani (:) uj̣elicci (:) amụrun (:) bitiiti (:) utisati (:) illec . . ịlinauḅisanicci (:) manadirtu (:) uạllimicciehi (:) atiimtuạillebitu (:) elmaga (:) dessi (:) insalla (:) iṇhairilla (:) lenạfilej̣il (:)(:) insalla (:) lebudụmian. minj̣erusale(m) (:) uallimị (:) maciteb . tu (:) ilicci (:) f . ama . . . sara (:) utruba (:) aj̣abasabiti (:) elcitan (:) ale (:) ebina (:) . c̣ . . . . ḅuicitebu (:) illecustantin (:) umandaụli (:) allim . . . . . . uamili (:) bira . cc̣i (:) ubimatirit . ḷ insalla . . . . . . . . . . . ụhabar . . (:) ụbimacitebi . tu (:) iliccụ . . . . . . essala (:) citirạ (:)ucali (:) icr . . c̣i (:) essalạ . ụḥạịṛ . . . . . . . . essala (:) essala(m) malicci (:) |
|  | **5** blank space after *binnemi* || **8** after *misec*, perhaps an interpunction sign || **9** after *custantin (:)*, a letter resembling *q*, but which could be *z* with abbreviation sign || **12** *illec . . ịlina*: perhaps *illecịḅịlina* || **15** *lebadụmian .* : perhaps *i* or an interpunction sign *(:)* follows || **16** trace of ink after *maciteb*: probably an interpunction sign | *f . ama*: perhaps *fj̣ama* || **18** after *bira*, perhaps *i* **19** the traces after *ụhabar* could belong to *i* and *c* (*ụhabaric*) || **20** after *macitebi* traces of a long vertical stroke || **21** *icr . . c̣i*: perhaps *icric̣c̣i*; after *essalạ*, perhaps an interpunction sign*Verso* |
| 1 | iḷlẹab . . . icciri (:) aṭịualla (:) (:) minsatimulea (:)ioh(an)neibịli . . sabi (:) ibinbaba (:) biti (:) ibinminsuru (:) |
|  | **1** the line begins with a sign, composed by five dots arranged in cross shape || **2** *ioh(an)neibịli*: perhaps *u* follows |

**Latin text and translation**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1 + *In nomine Domini iscribimus ista epistula, ego Sati ad tibe Iohanne.* |2 *Como si sanum? Comodo tecum? Magno te amabiles, dilec*|3*tus amicus, et fratres in Domino diligo: tibi salutem.* |4 *Como si sanum? Comodo tecum? Dominus Deus quod te facia sanu* |5 *senper et salbu.* *Binne mi epistula tua de tua sani*|6*tate: Domine tibi gratia, qua tu si sanum.* | “ + In the name of the Lord, I, Sati, write this letter to you, Iohanne. How are you? How are you doing? I greet you, my dear friend, and your brothers[[76]](#footnote-76) with friendship, in the name of the Lord.How are you? How are you doing? May God, our Lord, keep you safe and sound forever. A letter about your good health reached me: I thank God, because you are safe.”  |

III-a Suggested readings

Arianna D’Ottone Rambach

**recto**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| l. 6 | O brother | eahi (:) | ياخي |
| l. 7 |  write me | uctubuli (:) | اكتبوا لي |
| l. 7 | with news of the merchandise | bihabarị (:) elbida (:) | بخبر البضاعة |
| l. 7 | give my greetings to | sellimu (:) alla[[77]](#footnote-77) | سلْموا على  |
| l. 8 | Amen | emin (:) | امين |
| l. 8 | the poor ones | ual (·) misec . n | والمساكين |
| l. 8 | if God wills | insalla | ان شاء الله |
| l. 9 | and with news of the ships [?] | ubihabar (:) elmaracciba (:) | وبخبر المراكب [?] |
| l. 9 | And with news of Constantin | u . ḥạbạr (:) cụstantin (:) | وبخبر قسطنطين |
| ll. 10-11 | That | deli / cci (:) | ذلك |
| l. 11 | inform me | uhabirini | وخبّريني |
| l. 12 | you received an order | uj̣elicci (:) amụrun (:) | وجاء لك أمر |
| l. 12 | Sāṭi‘ | sati (:) | ساطع |
| l. 12 | To us | ịlina | إلينا |
| l. 13 | as for you | uḅisanicci (:) | وبشأنك |
| l. 13 | and I inform you of it | uạllimicciehi (:) | اعلمك يا ها |
| l. 14 | otherwise I sold | illebitu (:) | والا بعت |
| l. 14 | this thing | Dessi | ذا الشيء |
| l. 14 | if God wills | insalla (:) | إن شاء الله  |
| l. 14 | to us | lenạ | لنا |
| l. 15 | to some of them, that is from Jerusalem | lebadụmian(i) minj̣erusale(m) (:) | لبعضهم يعني من جروسل |
| ll. 15-16 | inform (of) | ualli / mị (:) | وعلم |
| l. 16 | what I am writing you / what I wrote to you | maciteb . tu (:) ilicci (:) | ما كتبت إليك |
| l. 17 | the linen | elcitan (:) | الكتان |
| l. 17 | they write | uicitebu (:) | ويكتبوا |
| ll. 17-18 | to Constantin | illecusta / ntin (:) | إلى قسطنطين |
| l. 18 | Act according to your judgment | uamili (:) bira . cc̣i (:) | واعمل برأيك |
| ll. 18-19 | And to your wish | ubi / matirit | وبما تريد / تريت[[78]](#footnote-78) |
| ll. 19-20 | With what I wrote you | ụbi / macitebi . tu (:)iliccụ | وبما كتبت إليكم |
| l. 20 | many greetings | essala (:) citirạ (:) | السلام كثيرًا |
| ll. 21-22 | Peace be upon you | essala (:) essala(m) malicci (:) | السلام عليك |

**verso**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| l. 1 | From Sāṭi‘ | (:) minsati | من ساطع |
| l. 2 | House of Ibn Manṣūr  | biti (:)ibinminsuru (:) | بيت ابن منصور |
| l. 2 | Son of | ibinbaba (:) | ابن بابا |
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