UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI NAPOLI "FEDERICO II" SCUOLA DI MEDICINA E CHIRURGIA



Dipartimento di Scienze Biomediche Avanzate Direttore Prof. Alberto Cuocolo Dottorato di Ricerca in Scienze Biomorfologiche e Chirurgiche XXXIV ciclo Coordinatore Prof. Alberto Cuocolo

"Role of serial cardiac ¹⁸F-FDG PET-MRI in Anderson-Fabry disease: a pilot study"

Relatore

Ch.mo Prof. Massimo Imbriaco

Candidato Dott. Andrea Ponsiglione

INDEX

1.	Background3
2.	Methods5
	2.1 Study Population5
	2.2 Imaging6
	2.3 PET-MR analysis7
	2.4 Statistical analysis9
3.	Results
	3.1 Baseline and follow-up imaging findings10
	3.2 Relationship between imaging findings and FASTEX
	score11
4.	Discussion12
5.	Conclusion18
6.	References
7.	Tables 25
8.	Figures27

Background

Anderson-Fabry disease (AFD) is an X-linked lysosomal storage disorder associated with severe multi-organ dysfunction and characterized by mutations in the gene encoding the enzyme α -galactosidase A [1]. This enzymatic deficiency leads to progressive accumulation of globotriaosylceramide (Gb3) in lysosomes of a variety of cell types and tissues [2]. Although storage occurs in several organ-specific cellular types, systemic accumulation in capillary endothelial cells has been demonstrated to play a major role in the pathological processes leading to major renal, cardiac and cerebrovascular clinical manifestations and to a significant reduction in life expectancy [3,4]. Indeed, cardiac involvement represents the primary cause of premature mortality in AFD [5.6]. Enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) initiation before the occurrence of irreversible organ injury determines a more favorable outcome while in patients with cardiac mature fibrosis, full-blown impaired renal function and proteinuria, the efficacy of ERT seems more limited [7]. Nevertheless, the timing of optimal ERT initiation to prevent tissue damage and organ function impairment is still debated [8-9].

Recent studies suggested that Fabry myocardial phenotype evolves according to different disease phases [10]. There is growing evidence that inflammatory pathways are actively involved not only in myocardial AFD [11] with mature fibrosis but also at systemic level in early stages of disease [12]. In this regard cardiac simultaneous ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyflucose (FDG) positron emission tomography (PET) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) may allow differentiation of mature fibrosis due to dense scar, from fibrosis associated with active inflammation [13,14]. Accordingly, it has been recently demonstrated that focal ¹⁸F-FDG uptake on PET-MRI images associated with a trend towards pseudo-normalization of abnormal T1 mapping values, may indicate an intermediate phase, preceding the onset of myocardial fibrosis thus suggesting a potential correlation between progressive myocyte glycosphingolipid accumulation, inflammation, and fibrosis. However, the role of inflammation as initial process of myocardial damage cascade and the efficacy of timely ERT on preventing irreversible disease progression remains to be fully understood. On the other hand, cardiac involvement is only one aspect of the general systemic disease progression occurring AFD. A disease stability (FASTEX) score has been recently proposed for assessment of systemic disease stability or progression [15]. In the present study, we investigated

the role of serial cardiac ¹⁸F-FDG PET-MRI in AFD and the potential relationship of imaging results with the FASTEX score [16].

Methods

Study population

We enrolled 16 consecutive patients (8 males, mean age 45 \pm 13 years) with genetically proven AFD from 5 unrelated families. Exclusion criteria were pregnancy, breast-feeding and standard contraindication for MRI. Information from all patients on traditional cardiovascular risk factors and on history of AFD-associated symptoms were collected. The presence of coronary artery disease was ruled out on the basis of clinical history associated with negative stress electrocardiography or stress echocardiography. All subjects performed complete blood draw for routine biochemical. Glomerular filtration rate was estimated using the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study equation. For each patient, the FASTEX score was calculated [15]. This score is a mathematical model considering seven clinical parameters regarding different degrees of organ involvement in three domains: nervous, renal and cardiac system. In particular, for cardiac system, echocardiography and electrocardiograph parameters are considered, in addition to the New York Heart Association

class; for each domain a scoring system ranging from 0 (no damage) to 4 (severe damage) is used. The weighted variation of these parameters in the three domains, across two different temporal points, is converted in percentage accounting for nervous, renal and cardiac severity score change. The sum of each severity domain corrected by their interaction results is the individual FASTEX score [15]. The present study included most of patients described in a previous paper [13] in which only baseline ¹⁸F-FDG PET-MRI findings were described. The study conformed to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the local Ethics Committee of our Institution, and all patients were informed and signed a written consent to participate to this study.

Imaging

In all patients cardiac ¹⁸F-FDG PET-MRI (Biograph mMR; Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) was performed according to the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging and the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology guidelines [17]. Subjects were instructed to consume 2 high-fat, low carbohydrate meals the day before the study and then fasted for at least 6h before the scan to ensure adequate suppression of ¹⁸F-FDG uptake [18]. Before imaging, patients were asked regarding adequate adherence to diet. Each patient was intravenously injected with 370 MBq of ¹⁸F-FDG and imaging was performed 45 min later [19]. MRI-compatible leads were placed on patients' chest and attached to PET-MR unit to synchronize images with the onset of systole and offset cardiac motion for electrocardiographic gating. Standard cardiac MRI protocol sequences were performed, including balanced steady state free precession cine sequence and T2weighted-short tau inversion recovery (STIR). Subsequently, late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) inversion recovery sequences were obtained 10 min after administration of a 0.1-mmol/kg-body weight Gadobutrol (Gadovist, Bayer Schering Pharma, Berlin, Germany) bolus. An inversion time scout scan was acquired, and the optimal inversion time value was found. A single bed position PET emission scan was acquired over 20min simultaneously with a whole heart cardiac MRI sequence.

PET-MRI analysis

A radiologist and a nuclear medicine physician in consensus evaluated cardiac ¹⁸F-FDG PET-MRI images on a dedicated workstation, as previously described [13]. Left ventricular (LV) volumes, mass, ejection fraction, wall thickness and LGE patterns were analyzed. According to ¹⁸F- FDG uptake pattern PET images were classified in homogeneous, heterogeneous, and focal. Only focally increased cardiac uptake was considered a positive finding for the presence of active cardiac inflammation [13,18]. The standardized uptake value (SUV) was also calculated. The intensity of ¹⁸F-FDG uptake was assessed by measuring the SUV in 17 myocardial segments and the average SUV and standard deviation (SD) of the SUV were quantified for each patient. SUV was automatically calculated by Corridor 4DM v.7.0 software from Invia Medical Imaging Solutions (Ann Arbor, Michigan) with a threshold of 50%. The coefficient of variation (COV) of the SUV in each patient was calculated as the SUV SD divided by the average SUV as an index of heterogeneity of ¹⁸F-FDG uptake [14,18]. SUV has been blindly measured by two nuclear medicine physicians, and data were averaged. The intraobserver and inter-observer variability of SUV measurements were <5%. As previously reported, control subjects, with no evidence of active inflammatory, coronary, or valvular diseases, or diabetes mellitus or severe hepatic, renal, malignant, and hematologic diseases and not receiving corticosteroids, demonstrated a mean COV and SD values of 0.12 and 0.025, respectively, suggesting to distinguishing physiological from abnormal FDG uptake according to a COV cut-off value >0.17 [14,20]. A

focal increase of FDG uptake with COV >0.17 was considered a positive finding for the presence of active cardiac inflammation [13,18]. Both LGE and T2-weighted-STIR images were compared to PET images using source data and fused images according to 17-segment model of LV.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are expressed as mean \pm SD and categorical data as percentages. For comparison of groups, unpaired t-test was performed. A *P* value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses of all data were performed using SPSS software (SPSS 21.0 for Windows, IBM, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

From an initial population of 16 patients with AFD, 3 patients (2 with evidence of LGE, positive STIR findings and abnormal COV and 1 patient with only LGE at baseline) implanted cardioverter-defibrillator for the occurrence of arrhythmic events following baseline examination and did not perform follow-up ¹⁸F-FDG PET-MRI. Hence, 13 patients (8/13, 62% males) underwent baseline and follow-up cardiac ¹⁸F-FDG PET-MRI (mean follow up time: 58 ± 13 months). The clinical characteristics of the overall population at baseline and follow up are shown in Table 1. No patient had diabetes mellitus or coronary artery disease. While only 4/13 patients were receiving ERT at baseline scan (31%), most patients (12/13) were under ERT at follow-up (92%).

Baseline and follow-up imaging findings

Baseline and follow-up imaging results according to ERT are reported in Table 2. At baseline 9 patients (#1-9) were ERT naïve and 4 patients (#10-13) were already under therapy.

Among the 8 patients without LGE at baseline that were not receiving ERT, 6 had normal COV and 2 abnormal COV with focal pattern of increased uptake in the infero-lateral region of the LV. At follow-up scan, negative PET-MRI findings were observed in all 8 patients, including those demonstrating focal pattern of increased ¹⁸F-FDG-uptake at baseline, who started ERT according to clinical judgement. Of note, all 6 patients who did not show LV hypertrophy at baseline, still showed normal LV wall thickness. Only one ERT naïve patient (#9) showed cardiac damage at baseline, including LGE, LV hypertrophy as well as abnormal COV confirmed at follow up study. Finally, one patient (#6) remained ERT naïve, showing normal PET-MRI results at both baseline and follow-up studies.

Of the 4 patients already under ERT at baseline, one (patient #10) showed negative MRI and focal pattern of increased ¹⁸F-FDG uptake at baseline and negative ¹⁸F-FDG PET-MRI findings at follow-up, 2 (patients #11 and 12) demonstrated abnormal COV in presence of LGE at both imaging scans, and one (patient #13) had mature fibrosis at baseline with no sign of inflammation at PET imaging, confirmed at follow-up. Concordance between COV and LGE was observed in a 9/13 (69%) patients at baseline and in 12/13 (92%) patients at follow-up.

Representative images are shown in Figures 1 and 2. For a better understanding of the evolution of cardiac involvement from baseline to follow-up, individual PET imaging findings at each scan are depicted in Figure 3.

Relationship between imaging findings and FASTEX score

The FASTEX score of each patient is reported in Table 2. Only 2 patients presented a FASTEX score of 0, indicating overall stable disease. Of note, these 2 patients did not show any imaging abnormality at both baseline and follow-up studies. The remaining 11 patients had a FASTEX score >20%

indicating global disease worsening. In particular, patients 1, 2, 3 and 4 without LGE, with negative STIR and normal COV at baseline and followup scans had a FASTEX score of 35%. Three patients with normal MRI findings and abnormal COV at baseline and follow-up studies had a FASTEX score of 50% (patient #7), 70% (patient #8) and 30% (patient #10). Two patients with abnormal MRI and PET findings at baseline and follow-up had a FASTEX score of 35% (patient #9) and 75% (patient #12). Patient 11 with LGE, negative STIR and abnormal COV at both imaging studies had a FASTEX score of 55%, whereas patient 13 with LGE and negative STIR and normal COV presented a FASTEX score of 100%. Moreover, of the 12 patients on ERT at the time of follow-up evaluation the FASTEX score was significantly higher in the 4 patients already showing irreversible cardiac injury at baseline scan compared to the 8 patients with negative LGE (66 ± 24 vs. 32 ± 21 , P = 0.03).

Discussion

This is the first study investigating the role of serial hybrid cardiac ¹⁸F-FDG PET-MRI in patients with AFD. We observed that among the 8 ERT naïve subjects with no sign of mature fibrosis at baseline ¹⁸F-FDG PET-MRI, those 2 with evidence of focal ¹⁸F-FDG uptake after starting ERT showed normal ¹⁸F-FDG PET-MRI findings at follow-up imaging. These data suggest that disease course had been stabilized by treatment before the onset of irreversible cardiac damage. Similarly, in the remaining 6 patients with normal ¹⁸F-FDG PET-MRI findings at baseline, no evidence of cardiac involvement was observed at follow-up, during ERT. In the 2 patients already under ERT at baseline examination with cardiac damage, identified by evidence of focal LGE as expression of myocardial fibrosis and increased ¹⁸F-FDG PET-MRI findings were confirmed at follow-up, underlining the limited benefit obtained from treatment, when injury has been already established, including persistence of inflammatory pattern of FDG uptake.

Moreover, of the 12 patients on ERT at the time of follow-up evaluation the FASTEX score, that provides information on the disease progression, was significantly higher in those 4 already showing irreversible cardiac injury at baseline scan compared to 8 with negative LGE, underlining that stabilization of disease may be more successful in patients starting ERT before the onset of organ damage. It should be noted that while normal COV values were always associated to negative STIR at baseline and follow-up imaging, negative STIR results did not predict neither COV

changes nor normal COV findings, demonstrating disagreement in four patients at baseline and in one patient at follow-up. This finding should take into consideration that, although FDG uptake suggests activation of inflammatory cells with potential myocardial oedema, STIR sequence may not be the optimal tool to look for subtle oedema in AFD.

The importance of early and correct diagnosis is essential in patients with AFD as well as the need to identify non-invasive biomarkers able to detect early cardiac involvement, before the onset of irreversible myocardial fibrosis, and potentially influence treatment response. It is also widely recognized that early ERT administration, especially in the prehypertrophic phase prevents progression of the disease, thus influencing patient's outcome. In particular, Imbriaco et al. [14] verified the possibility of identifying different stages of AFD related cardiac disease involvement and progression from early phases to more advanced disease by hybrid ¹⁸F-FDG PET-MRI. The authors described a potential association between myocardial inflammation, as documented by abnormal ¹⁸F-FGD uptake findings, and glycosphingolipid burden accumulation with interstitial fibrosis advancement, as recognized by pseudo-normalization of abnormal T1 values. On the light of irreversible myocardial damage and fibrosis

development prevention, the identification of this intermediate stage of disease may allow an early and more effective therapeutic approach. The role of focal ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in AFD related cardiac involvement progression has been also previously investigated in females with αgalactosidase A mutation. Spinelli and coworkers [20] showed the association between impaired LV longitudinal function and abnormal ¹⁸F-FDG findings as an early sign of myocardial injury, confirming the potential role of inflammation in glycosphingolipids storage disorders. The emerging concept of myocardial inflammation coexisting with myocardial fibrosis in patients with AFD has been proposed in several prior studies [11,21-23]. More recently, Nordin et al. [10] suggested a model of myocardial phenotype evolution in AFD. From the initial glycosphingolipids' accumulation, myocardial damage occurs through hypertrophy and inflammatory phase ending up in fibrosis with irreversible cardiac impairment. The initial storage phase starts in childhood and is subclinical; in this phase, T1 mapping values are low and are associated with normal LV mass values. In the myocyte hypertrophy and inflammation phase, LGE and inflammation appears mainly in the infero-lateral wall and are associated with elevation of chronic troponin, without evidence of LV wall thinning. In the late and irreversible fibrotic phase, persistent LVH

and troponin elevation is present, in association with myocardial cell death and LV wall thickening. Camporeale et al. [24] also demonstrated that in pre-hypertrophic Fabry disease, the presence of low T1 values is a risk factor for disease worsening, thus representing a potential new tool in prognostic stratification and therapeutic approach. More recently, Augusto et al. [22] using a combination of blood and cardiac MRI biomarkers, have shown that when LGE is present in AFD patients, it is strongly associated with high T2-weighted values, suggestive of myocardial oedema, and chronic troponin elevation. This oedema has prognostic significance and determines baseline cardiac electromechanical changes and clinical worsening after 1 year, suggesting a potential new treatment strategy. Frustaci et al. [25] first documented histological evidence of myocarditis in 56 % of a large sample of patients with AFD undergoing endo-myocardial biopsy. These findings are consistent with hypothesis that if on one hand myocarditis may develop due to interstitial damage through inflammatory cell infiltration, oedema, and cell necrosis on the other it may also trigger myocardial fibrosis evolution through the activation of transforming growth factor b1. The same group recently examined the explanted heart from a 57-year-old man with AFD cardiomyopathy on three years ERT, presenting with ventricular fibrillation [26]. The authors reported a severe

virus-negative myocarditis, with extensive inflammation involving cardiomyocytes, coronary vessels, conduction tissue and cardiac ganglions and hypothesizing a Gb3-induced auto-reactive myocarditis, as a possible cause of ERT resistance and irreversible cardiac impairment. The role of ¹⁸F-FDG-PET in myocardial inflammation identification has also been largely investigated. In particular, Nensa et al. [27] prospectively compared ¹⁸F-FDG-PET to LGE and T2-weighted MRI sequences, using hybrid ¹⁸F-FDG-MRI in patients with suspected myocarditis, demonstrating an overall good agreement between MRI findings and that abnormal myocardial ¹⁸F-FDG PET uptake. Given the high levels of glucose transporters (in particular GLUT1 and GLUT3) and hexokinase activity expressed by all cells of the monocyte/macrophage family and lymphocytes, glucose uptake evaluation is hallmark of inflammation enabling¹⁸F-FDG PET imaging to directly quantify the metabolic activity of inflammatory cell infiltrates. The main limitation of our study is represented by the small sample size, but it should be considered that AFD is a rare disorder and available data on prognostic stratification are very limited. Moreover, our findings refer to a single-center experience. Hence, as future perspective, our results may encourage a multi-center investigation paving the way for a deeper AFD insight. However, due to radiation exposure the correct timing for follow-

up imaging still needs to be defined. A clear algorithm for establish role and timing of performing ¹⁸F-FDG PET-MRI should take in to account the average exposition that is around 8 mSv for a median dose of 370 MBq of ¹⁸F-FDG while the natural background ranges from about 1.5 to 3.5 mSv. Further, it should be considered that naïve patients may mostly benefit from ¹⁸F-FDG PET-MRI evaluation. Regarding imaging protocol, the use of T1 and T2 mapping and extra-cellular volume estimation would have been an added value to guide patients' treatment and monitoring strategies and should be considered for further investigations. Further, endomyocardial biopsy data are not available. However, the value of biopsy as gold standard is limited due to low sensitivity attributable to sampling errors to the point that LGE identification and localization usually guides biopsy [28].

Conclusions

FASTEX score, indicating systemic disease worsening, is lower in patients who started ERT in absence of cardiac fibrosis at baseline scan, highlighting greater benefits of early ERT initiation before irreversible damage occurs. However, the optimal marker of reversible cardiac impairment onset still needs to be identified. ¹⁸F-FDG PET-MRI can be further explored as a multimodality imaging tool to follow-up cardiac involvement in AFD at early stage, to start ERT in a timely fashion, and to monitor disease progression, thus improving patients' outcome.

References

- Desnick RJ, Ioannou YA, Eng CM (2001) α-Galactosidase A deficiency: Fabry disease. In: Scriver CR, Beaudet AL, Sly WS, Valle D, editors. The metabolic and molecular bases of inherited disease. 8th ed. New York: McGraw Hill; p. 3733-3774.
- Deva DP, Hanneman K, Li Q et al (2016) Cardiovascular magnetic resonance demonstration of the spectrum of morphological phenotypes and patterns of myocardial scarring in Anderson-Fabry disease. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2016; 18:14.
- Schiffmann R, Warnock DG, Banikazemi M et al (2009) Fabry disease: progression of nephropathy, and prevalence of cardiac and cerebrovascular events before enzyme replacement therapy. Nephrol Dial Transplant 24:2102-11.
- Wilcox WR, Oliveira JP, Hopkin RJ et al (2008) Females with Fabry disease frequently have major organ involvement: Lessons from the Fabry Registry. Mol Genet Metab 93:112-28.
- Weidemann F, Linhart A, Monserrat L, Strotmann J (2010) Cardiac challenges in patients with Fabry disease. Int J Cardiol 141:3-10.
- Linhart A, Kampmann C, Zamorano JL et al (2007) Cardiac manifestations of Anderson-Fabry disease: results from the international

Fabry outcome survey. Eur Heart J 28:1228-35.

- Arends M, Biegstraaten M, Hughes DA et al (2017) Retrospective study of long-term outcomes of enzyme replacement therapy in Fabry disease: Analysis of prognostic factors. PLoS One 12:e0182379.
- Kampmann C, Perrin A, Beck M (2015) Effectiveness of agalsidase alfa enzyme replacement in Fabry disease: cardiac outcomes after 10 years' treatment. Orphanet J Rare Dis 10:125.
- Weidemann F, Niemann M, Störk S et al (2013) Long-term outcome of enzyme-replacement therapy in advanced Fabry disease: evidence for disease progression towards serious complications. J Intern Med 274:331-41.
- 10.Nordin S, Kozor R, Medina-Menacho K et al (2019) Proposed stages of myocardial phenotype development in Fabry disease. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 12:1673.83.
- 11.Nordin S, Kozor R, Bulluck H et al (2016) Cardiac Fabry disease with late gadolinium enhancement is a chronic inflammatory cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 68:1707-8.
- 12.Augusto JB, Moon JC (2019) Mapping phenotype development in Fabry disease. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 12:e009067.

- 13.Nappi C, Altiero M, Imbriaco M et al (2015) First experience of simultaneous PET/MRI for the early detection of cardiac involvement in patients with Anderson-Fabry disease. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 42:1025-1031.
- 14.Imbriaco M, Nappi C, Ponsiglione A et al (2019) Hybrid positron emission tomography-magnetic resonance imaging for assessing different stages of cardiac impairment in patients with Anderson-Fabry disease: AFFINITY study group. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 20:1004-11.
- 15.Mignani R, Pieruzzi F, Berri F et al (2016) FAbry STabilization indEX (FASTEX): an innovative tool for the assessment of clinical stabilization in Fabry disease. Clin Kidney J 9:739-47.
- 16.Nappi C, Ponsiglione A, Pisani A et al (2021) Role of serial cardiac 18F-FDG PET-MRI in Anderson-Fabry disease: a pilot study. Insights Imaging. 2021 Sep 6;12(1):124.
- 17.Dorbala S, Di Carli MF, Delbeke D et al (2013) SNMMI/ASNC/SCCT guideline for cardiac SPECT/CT and PET/CT 1.0. J Nucl Med 54:1485-507.
- 18.Osborne MT, Hulten EA, Murthy VL et al (2017) Patient preparation for cardiac fluorine-18 fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission

tomography imaging of inflammation. J Nucl Cardiol 24:86-99.

- 19.Dilsizian V, Bacharach SL, Beanlands RS et al (2016) ASNC imaging guidelines/SNMMI procedure standard for positron emission tomography (PET) nuclear cardiology procedures. J Nucl Cardiol 23:1187-226.
- 20.Spinelli L, Imbriaco M, Nappi C et al (2018) Early cardiac involvement affects left ventricular longitudinal function in females carrying αgalactosidase A mutation. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 11:e007019.
- 21.Nordin S, Kozor R, Vijapurapu R et al (2019) Myocardial storage, inflammation, and cardiac phenotype in Fabry disease after one year of enzyme replacement therapy. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 12:e009430.
- 22.Augusto JB, Nordin S, Vijapurapu R et al (2020) Myocardial edema, myocyte injury, and disease severity in Fabry disease. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 13:e010171.
- 23.Hayashi Y, Hanawa H, Jiao S et al (2015) Elevated endomyocardial biopsy macrophage-related markers in intractable myocardial diseases. Inflammation 38:2288-99.
- 24.Camporeale A, Pieroni M, Pieruzzi F et al (2019) Predictors of clinical evaluation in prehypertrophic Fabry disease. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 12:e008424.

- 25.Frustaci A, Verardo R, Grande C et al (2018) Immune-mediated myocarditis in Fabry disease cardiomyopathy. J Am Heart Assoc 7:e009052.
- 26.Frustaci A, Scarpa M, Da Riol RM et al (2020) Fabry cardiomiopathy: Gb3-induced auto-reactive panmyocarditis requiring heart transplantation. ESC Heart Fail 7:1331-7.
- 27.Nensa F, Kloth J, Tezgah E et al (2018) Feasibility of FDG-PET in myocarditis: Comparison to CMR using integrated PET/MRI. J Nucl Cardiol 25:785-94.
- 28.Mahrholdt H, Goedecke C, Wagner A et al (2004) Cardiovascular magnetic resonance assessment of human myocarditis: a comparison to histology and molecular pathology. Circulation 109:1250-8.

	Baseline Study	Follow up Study
Patients (n)	16	13
Age (years)	45 ± 13	50 ± 14
Male gender, n (%)	8 (50)	6 (62)
Weight (Kg)	68 ± 12	70 ± 11
Hypertension, <i>n</i> (%)	5 (31)	5 (38)
Smoking, <i>n</i> (%)	1 (6)	1 (8)
Classic Anderson-Fabry disease, n (%)	13 (81)	10 (77)
Late onset Anderson-Fabry disease, n (%)	3 (19)	3 (23)
Enzyme replacement therapy, n (%)	6 (37)	12 (92)
Left ventricular mass (g)	58 ± 23	54 ± 8
Left ventricular end-diastolic volume (mL)	77 ± 19	76 ± 7
Left ventricular end-systolic volume (mL)	26 ± 10	29 ± 2
Left ventricular ejection fraction (%)	67 ± 7	63 ± 7

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the overall population at baseline and follow up

Values are expressed as mean value \pm standard deviation or as number (percentage) of subjects

			ERT		LGE		STIR		LVH		COV		
Patient	Sex	Age	Baseline	Follow-up	FASTEX score								
1	F	33	_	+	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	35
2	М	19	_	+	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	35
3	М	45	_	+	-	_	_	_	+	+	_	_	35
4	М	53	_	+	-	_	_	_	+	+	_	_	35
5	F	25	_	+	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0
6	F	66	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0
7	F	50	_	+	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	_	50
8	М	36	_	+	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	_	70
9	М	41	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	35
10	М	32	+	+	_	_	_	_	_	_	+	-	30
11	F	45	+	+	+	+	_	_	_	_	+	+	55
12	F	50	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	75
13	F	63	+	+	+	+	_	-	+	+	-	_	100

ERT, enzyme replacement therapy; *LGE*, late gadolinium enhancement; *STIR*, short tau inversion recovery; *LVH*, left ventricular hypertrophy; *COV*,

coefficient of variance.

Figure 1. 50 years old female (patient #7) with negative STIR (A), no LGE (B) and increased ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in the lateral region of the LV (C) and corresponding fused images (D) at baseline study. Unremarkable PET-MRI findings at follow-up study (E, F, G, H)



Figure 2. 50 years old female (patient #12) with focal hyperintensity on STIR image (A) and focal LGE in the basal segments of the lateral wall of the LV (B), increased ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in the corresponding myocardial region (C) and relative fused images (D) at baseline study. No significant changes of PET-MRI findings at follow-up study (E, F, G, H).





